G R S App F IX 4]

194 2-

File No 40-41

*Previous References*

( M ( )

Serial Nos 89 90
189, 191
194 196

*Later References*

M( 10 42 (125-126)

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos* 6

**Part B for** Feb 43

SUBJECT

Revision of the Scale of Pay of the Menial Staff of the Bangalore City Municipality

2 The M Comr Bangalore City
L 7958 dt 11 2 43

States that the proposals may be over till Normal Conditions are restored

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mc — File No 5109/2/10 of 194/42. [App F III-2

Serial No

From the Mc Commr, BCity thro' Govt
No AS 676/41 Dy 26-2-42.
Forwards for sanction proposals for the revision of the scale of pay of the menials of the city mcy ~~office~~, resulting in an extra cost of Rs 962/15 P.m

At present there are 88 persons attached to the several offices of the mcy viz,,
(1) Mc Comm's office, (2) Mc Engineer's office, (3) Health office, (4) Revenue office & (5) Octroi Supdt's office on different scales of ~~two~~ Pay. It is proposed to revise the scales of their pay & also to increase the number by 1, making a total of 89

The extra cost involved in the proposal is only Rs 962/15 P.m.

The revision proposed appears to be necessary in view of the costliness of living especially in a city like Bangalore where the cost of living has ~~been~~ increased to a considerable extent.

The extra cost involved in this behalf is proposed to be met out of the savings in the provision made for the reorganisation of the Octroi Dept.

It is proposed to give effect to this revision from 1-1-42

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WP 041 — GI E— 5 000—29 10 41

Revision of scale of pay of the menials of Bangalore Mily.

2

Mil File No. 10 5109/2 of 1941/42 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

The proposition statement has not been verified & appd, this will be sent to the Comptroller unofficially for verification & return

Ulls 1/3 submitted for orders

The Covering letter of the Commr is not as explanatory as it should be, in that it does not bring out the necessity for the proposition. The salient features of the proposition are — 1 introduction of a Daffedars post in each of the Revenue & Petrol Supdt offices, by reducing the pay of each 2. addition of a Daffedars place in the Mil Commrs office. 3. introduction of progressive scales of pay in lieu of the existing fixed scales.

2 Generally, progressive scales of pay are not conducive to efficient services as promotions should not be anticipated in their case. It is of course true that in some offices in Blore as Secl. Comptn & Mint etc. the inferior staff are enjoying progressive grades of pay.

This apart, the proposition Sch does not give the number & date of G O sanctioning

Revision of Scale of Pay - Blow Cir Wkly

(3)

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 10 of 1941-42 — [App. F III-2

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | the present Exh, as suggested by his works. |
| 9 | The Commr has not also say how long his personnel have been in receipt of their present pay, whether there has been stagnation etc |
| 10 | We venture to recommend for his consideration the increase in the number of increments [illegible] of present pay. The only reason given is that the existing pay is inadequate in these hard days. It has to be remembered that high dearness allowance is given to all Govt employees on pay up to a maximum of Rs. 250/- |
| 11 | At the top of all these considerations is the most important one - viz the financial condition of the Mdy, which the want of finance is breeching on borrowed funds for current expenses, a procedure fundamentally wrong. Under such conditions, it may not be a proper husbanding of resources, to undertake to incur extra. charges be thought of. |
| 12 | Many more amendments be forwarded only to the Mdy & then further remarks called for, pending the proposals |

NOTE—Cont. Sheet.

W.T.[illegible]—G.P.S.—55,000—22-10-41

4) Revision of Pay of Mental Estt. Bldg. Cir. Dt. 16.

mc File No. 10 (11) 2297 of 1941-42 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

Shd also be Audit Verification?)

2/8/42 13) A above may be approved

Law Secy) for 4/3/42

SECY LAI. DEPT. 2023 7 12-2 GOVT OF MYSORE

14) Draft put up. Hm 2 7/3/42 for

15) Issue 13/3 for 14/3/42

To... the mc Commr, Bdgs, thro Pay no L 8691 dt 19-3-42

Ref also for some information from the mc Commr. Bdgs MO 45 C 676/41 dt 8-10-41.

States that in view of the Govt's enhanced Dearness Allowance, it has been suggested to the mc cl to allow the matter to lie over for the present & that their decision will be communicated to Govt after the Council meets.

16) Submitted for perusal. Receipt of the decision of the mc cl will be awaited

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 19-10-42 No. 3161

SECY L DEPT. 4204 1 2 3 GOVT MYSORE

Law Secy) Law 19/10/42

19-10-42 Govt 22/11

17) Sd 30-10-42 for Sd 16/11

2297 286/2

General and Revenue Secretariat — ML File No 10 of 1941-42 [App F III—2

Serial No

From the Dl Commr, Rlcy thro the DDs
NO AS 676/41 Dy 23-11-42
Forwards a copy of the resolution of the ml cl requesting to sanction the revision etc

18) In View of the grant of Dearness allowance to the employees, the ml Commr recommends that the proposal may be dropped. The ml cl have appd of the proposal at their meeting held on 28-10-42 with the observation that it might be given effect to from 1-7-42.

19) The Dlt has also appended his forwarding note which is submitted for perusal

20) The extra cost involved in the proposal is stated to be Rs 96 2/15 P m.

21) ~~submitted for orders~~ Pl S I & II are submitted for orders
29/11 M 30/11

22) Pl keep up for 3/12/42

23) ~~Submitted~~ with a draft letter for appl as personally directed

4/12/42 GS 4/12

24) Issue
4/12/42

To the ml Commr, Rlcy thro Dlt
NO L 5734 Dy 7-12-42
Calls for some information

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Sub A 12

WD 04156—GPB—55 000—20-10-41

6)

3286 4469

File No. 10 of 1942-43. General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Letter No A5-C 676/42 dy 25-1-43 from the 194
Intl Comm. Offg., furnishing the information
called for

25) The information contained in the Intl Comm's letter (Q. No III) is mainly of personal orders.

26) The proposals relate to the revision of the scale of pay of the menial establishment of the Bangalore city mcty. The extra non-recurring outlay involved is Rs 11104. while Rs 13248/- per annum of the revision is sanctioned, it is estimated that the cost would go up to Rs 1503 p.m. in the fourth year or would amount to Rs 18,036 p.a. or would result in an extra expense of Rs 5788 p.a.

27) In addition to the above, at present a dearness allowance at the rate of Rs 37 p.m. for each menial is being paid. This amounts to Rs 4440 p.m. or 53280/- p.a.

28) According to the Budget of the city mcty for 42-43, the closing balance ends in a heavy deficit of Rs 28600/-. In spite of the fact that a provision of Rs three lakhs is allowed as an anticipated revenue under the sale of

Revision of scale of the menial establishment of the Beat Mess (7

Continuation Sheet ml File No / Current No 10 of 41/42 Page

Sites ~~It is not known whether this amount will be realized~~ actually A provision of Rs 90,000/- has been made on account of the payment of the dearness allowance to the Mil employees during the year 1942-43

29) As the members of the menial establishment have been given each dearness allowance at Rs 5/- p.m. it does not appear desirable to cancel the question of revising the scale of pay at present. The proposal may therefore be ~~we~~ till normal conditions are restored, or ~~otherwise~~ till the financial condition of the Mil becomes improved ~~and~~ as by the present condition the Mil is unable to bear the recurring expenditure now proposed, in addition to the payment of the dearness allowance for today

Law Secy
2/2/43

30) The Municipal Commissioner's proposal to increase the scale may not be approved

Mun Secy
2-2-43

31) [illegible]
6-2-43 5-2-43

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Date 5-2-43
No 6/43

WD 1140—GPB—50,000—5-11-44

3/ Draft please

Ans 9/2/43

3/ should with a draft letter for appl

this 8/2

7/8 8/2

TYPING SECTION - 9 FEB. 1943 GEN REGY. SECTT

ml Done 8/2/43

X96 Do. Mr. Mil Comm. Bey, thro Pak

No L. 7958. 8/Hyp 43

States that the proposals may be

over till normal conditions are

# BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

5109/2

No. A5.676/41 MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Encl 5. Bangalore 26th February 1942.

RC 10-121-89.

From

ABDUL AZIZ KHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY

SECY LAW DEPT. GOVT OF MYSORE

To

H. V. Narayana Rao, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
LAW DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that, the menials of this Municipality have been urging that the scale of their pay may be suitably revised, in view of the fact that the existing grade is very low and quite insufficient to maintain themselves in these hard days. A proposal to revise their pay was therefore placed before the Managing Committee, who, at their meeting held on 9-12-1941 have approved the revised scale as noted in the enclosed triplicate copies of proposition statement. The Municipal Council have approved of it on 22-12-1941. Copies of the resolutions of the Managing Committee and the Municipal Council are enclosed, for favour of obtaining the sanction of Government for the revision of scale of pay of the menial Establishment of this Municipality with effect from 1-1-1942.

2. The revised proposals involve an extra cost of Rs. 1153-10-0 per annum. The expenditure on this account during the current year will be met out of the savings in the provision made towards reorganisation of the Octroi Establishment. In future years, necessary provision will be made annually.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Forwarded with Compliments.

K. Hanumanthaiya
Honorary President.

Municipal Commissioner.

svs.

No.15/41

Minutes of the Proceedings of the adjourned Ordinary General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Monday the 22nd December 1941 at 5 p.m. in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Offices, Bangalore.

—:oOo:—

Present

W. H. Hanumanthappa, Esq.,

Honorary President.

Messrs.
1. V. K. Govindarajulu, B.A., B.L.
2. Ramalal Thiwari
3. D. S. Raju, M.A. (Hons.), B.L.
4. G. Nanjappa
5. K. Hanumanthiah, B.A., LL.B.
6. K.N. Ramaswamy, B.A.
7. K. Shamaraja Iyengar, B.A.
8. H.R. Guruv Reddy, B.A., LL.B.
9. M.A. Gopalaswami Iyengar, M.A., LL.B.
10. M.K. Narayana Iyengar, B.A., B.L.
11. H.C. Suryanarayana Rao
12. S.N.M. Razvi
13. V. Chikkayellappa

Absent

Messrs.
1. R. Subbamma
2. N. Kesavaiengar, B.A., B.L.
3. V. Venkataramanappa
4. T. Gangadharaswamy
5. B. Narasimhiah
6. V. Venkataswami Chetty
7. K. Ganga Setty
8. M.R. Honnappa, B.A., B.L.
9. B. Subbiah, B.Sc., LL.B.
10. Kote Kamakshiah Setty
11. O.S. Nasarulla Shariff, M.A., LL.B.
12. Mirza M. Azizulla Beig
13. A.J. Khaleel, B.A., B.L.
14. M. Royan, M.J.
15. Vacant.
16. -do-

—.oOo:—

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL DATED

**22-12-1941.**

**Addl.** Subject No **38.-**

**Opinion of the Managing Committee dated 9-12-1941 in the matter of revising the scale of pay of the Jamadar, Daffedars and Peons of the several Offices of the Municipality.**

**Resolution -**

**Approved and the revised scale be given effect to from 1-1-1942.**

Sd W.H. Hanumanthappa,

Honorary President

==oOo==

"C o p y"

Municipal Commissioner

svs.

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

-- oOo --

**Proceedings of the Managing Committee meeting dated 9-12-1941.**

---

Sub.No 7 -

(Defd.Subj.No 28/27-11-41) President's Note in the matter of revising the scale of pay of the Jamadar and peons of the Head Office and the President's Office.

Resolution -

The pay of the Jamadar, Daffedar, and Peons of the Municipal Offices may be revised as hereunder -

| | |
|---|---|
| Jamadar | 18-1-20 |
| Daffedars | 15-1-18 |
| Peons | 10-½-15 |

Sd/-W H Hanumanthappa,

CHAIRMAN

-- oOo --

"C o p y"

Municipal Commissioner

svs

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Mil

File No 10-41

Serial No 90.

No L 8691

To The Mil Comm Blow Cis
Hyd. Ka Pdt

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 19.3.42

Signed by N.G. Rao

Sir, Reason of pay of the
Medical Estt of Mil
Bloc Cis Rlty

With reference to your letter
No A 5- 676/41 dy 26.2.42
on the subject, I am directed
to observe that the number of
posts in certain depts of the Mily
are proposed to be reduced, while
Duffadars are proposed to be appointed
and no reasons for the change
are forthcoming in your letter
Proposed scales of pay are not
generally convenient for imperial
servants. It is not clear why
the is proposed to introduce propn
scales & the only reason given
is reference to fixed scales

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—30 000—5.42

that the pay is found inadequate in view
of the higher Cost of living. But it
has to be remembered that dearness allowance
has been sanctioned to Compensate for the rise
in prices.
The proposition Sir does not motivate his authority
(Govt) under which the existing rate was cut.
It is not warranted by article [illegible]
It is also not made clear how and why a Commission
is called for now & whether his personnel have
changed on their present happy if so. how long
Above all, as far as I am aware,
their finances are by no means sound
[illegible] Before
[illegible] it would be
well advised to act harmoniously to his
terms of Charge at this stage.
I am asking Sri Jagjivan Ram & Sri Madhu
to kindly reconsider and expedite
their decision on this pressing problem
The proposition Sir is sent herewith

Thanks.

Yours

13/8

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L 8691/M&104190 *Dated Bangalore,* 13 March 1942.

FROM

*The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Law Department.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City.
(Through the President, [illegible] Council, Bangalore).

Sir,

Subject:-Revision of [illegible] pay of the Menial Establishment of the Bangalore City Municipality.

With reference to your letter No.A.5.676/41 dated 26th February 1942 on the subject, I am directed to observe that the number of peons in certain Departments of the Municipality are proposed to be reduced, while Daffedars are proposed to be appointed anew. The reasons for the change are not forthcoming in your letter. Progressive scales of pay are not generally convenient for inferior servants. It is not clear why it is proposed to introduce progressive scales in preference to fixed scales. The only reason given is that the pay is found inadequate in these days of high costs of living. But it has to be remembered that dearness allowance has been sanctioned to compensate for the rise in [illegible] prices. The proposition statement does not indicate the authority (Government Orders) under which the existing establishment was sanctioned. It is not verified by audit. It is also not made clear how and why a revision is called for now and whether the personnel have stagnated on their present pay and if so, how long. Above all, as the Municipal Council are aware, their finances are by no means sound. It therefore bears examination whether the Municipal Council would be well advised to add permanently to the recurring establishment charges at this stage. I am accordingly to request that the matter be kindly reconsidered and and full

full information furnished on the foregoing points. The sition statement is returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ramachandran
for Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

# BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

2297

No. A5-C.676/41. MUNICIPAL OFFICES.

Encl Bangalore 7th October 1942.

From

ABDUL AZIZ KHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY

To

C. Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
LAW DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE.

SECY LAW DEPT. 10 OCT 1942 GOVT OF MYSORE

SIR,

Subject - Revision of the scales of pay of the Menial Establishment of the Bangalore City - Municipality.

With reference to your reminder letter No. L.2366 dated 28-8-1942 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that, in view of the recent order of Government sanctioning the grant of Dearness allowance at the enhanced rates, it has been suggested to the Municipal Council to allow the matter to lie over for the present. Their decision will be duly reported to Government after the Council meets and considers this question.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner.

kem.

# BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No A5-C. 676/41.

Encl.

MUNICIPAL OFFICES.

Bangalore 23rd November 1942

From

ABDUL AZIZ KHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY

To

C. Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
LAW DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE.

SIR,

Subject - Revision of the scales of pay of the Menial Establishment of the Bangalore City Municipality.

In continuation of my letter No. A5-C.676/41 dated 8-10-1942 on the above subject and adverting to your letter No. L.8691/Ml. 10-41-90 dated 19-3-1942 calling for certain particulars in the same connection, I have the honour to state as follows -

2. There are no Daffedars at present to the offices of the Honorary President, Revenue Officer and the Octroi Superintendent and it is proposed to appoint Daffedars to these offices. The existing scales of pay of the entire Menial Staff was sanctioned by Government in their order No. 790-1/Ml. 32-21-41 dated 26-7-42 and the same has been noted in the proposition statement. Most of the peons are stagnating on their present pay from a long time as noted in the enclosed statement.

3. As a sort of incentive for good work in the hope of - getting some increase in pay annually and also to enable the - deserving peons to reach the posts of Jamedars in due course, - time scale has been proposed. The proposition statement has - been got verified by the auditor as desired.

4. The letter under advertance came up for consideration - before the Managing Committee on 30-5-1942 and they resolved that the revision might be given effect to, as already sanctioned by the Council. The Municipal Council thereupon at their meeting held on 23-6-1942 have approved of the opinion of the Managing Committee with the observation that it might be given effect to from 1-7-1942. I may state in this connection, that consistently with the observation contained in your letter under reference and that, in view of the fact that the rate of Dearness Allowance to be paid to menials has been raised to Rs.5/- per month in Government Order No. H 2157-2215 S&A dated 25th September '42 - I suggested to the Council that the subject may lie over for the present. The Council at their meeting held on 28-10-1942 have again resolved that the revision may be given effect to from 1-7-1942, as already resolved by the Council.

Copies of the resolutions of the Managing Committee and of the Council dated 30-5-1942 and 23-6-1942 and 28-10-1942 respectively are enclosed herein for favour of reference and orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

p.t.o.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Forwarded with compliments.

Honorary Vice President. Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with Compliments

mlt. The dearness allowance, as Government is fully aware, is only a temporary relief afforded to the employees to meet the very high prices of food stuffs etc. On account of the war and is not to only the menials. The idea of the Council in sanctioning this reduction was to see that the menials working under them do get some sort of permanent improvement in their lot and as an incentive for better and more honest work. The cost involved is very little and I request that Government may kindly sanction the resolution of the Council.

Yours faithfully
Ex vice President
25/11/1942

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

Proceedings of the Managing Committee meeting dated 30-5-1942

15 Council subject No 80 dated 23-4-42 referring the letter No L 8691/M1 10-41-90 dated 19-3-42 from the Law Secretary in the matter of revision of pay of the menial establishment of the Bangalore City Municipality

Resolution - As sanctioned by the Council already the revision may be given effect to

Sd K Hanumanthaiya,
Chairman

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

2

mlt

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

Proceedings of the Meeting of Council dated 23-6-1942

55. Opinion of the Managing Committee dated 30-5-42 as already resolved by the Council, the revision of the pay of the menial establishment may be given effect to

Resolution - Approved and may be given effect to from 1-7-1942

Sd V Venkataswamy Chetty,
Honorary Vice President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

mlt

No.19/42.

Minutes of the Council Proceedings of the Ordinary General Meeting held on Wednesday the 28th October 1942 at 3. P.M. in the Council -- Chamber of the City Municipal Offices, Bangalore City.

## PRESENT.

V. Venkataswamy Chetty, Esqr., Hon: President I/C.

Messrs:
1. V. K. Govindarajalu, B.A., B.L.,
2. R. Subbanna,
3. Ramalal Thivari,
4. L. S. Raju, M.A.(Hons.), B.L.,
5. V. Venkataramanappa,
6. T. Gangadharaswamy,
7. K. N. Ramaswamy, B.A.,
8. C. N. Narasinga Rao, B.A., B.L.,
9. M. A. Gopalaswami Iyengar, M.A., LL.B.,
10. V. K. Narayanaiengar, B.A., B.L.,
11. M. Krishnaswami Pillay,
12. B. Subbiah, B.Sc., LL.B.,
13. Kota Kamakshiah Setty,
14. O. M. Fasarulla Sheriff, M.A., LL.B.,
15. A. R. A. Basith,
16. H. B. Mohammed Khan,
17. Dr. M. Royan, M. D.,
18. V. Chickrayellappa,

## A B S E N T.

Messrs:
1. W. H. Hanumanthappa,
2. G. Nanjappa,
3. K. Hanumanthiah, B.A., LL.B.,
4. B. Narasimhiah,
5. K. Ganga Setty,
6. K. Shamarajaiengar, B.A.,
7. M. P. Guru Reddi, B.A., LL.B.,
8. Dr. Miss. Kamala Ramachandriah,
9. Mirza Azeezulla Baig,
10. V a c a n t .
11. V a c a n t .

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

Proceedings of the Meeting of the Council dated 28-10-42

---

55 Council resolution dated 23-6-1942 that the pay of the menial staff may be revised from 1-7-1942 Also office note in the matter

Resolution - The Commissioner informed the House that the staff including the menials were getting a dearness allowance of Rs 5/- per month till the war came to a close and even after war, the allowance may be got merged in their pay as was done during the last war and hence he had opined that this question may be taken up after the dearness allowance was stopped

The President explained that the dearness allowance now being given was only a temporary nature just to mitigate the hardships caused by the rise in prices and the Council's idea in introducing the revision was to see that the menials got some permanent targible good

Mr K N Ramaswami also supported the views of the President and said that the amourt involved was very small and may be sanctioned

Resolution - May be given effect to from 1-7-1942 as already resolved by the Council

Sd V Venkataswami Chetty,

Hon President in charge

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

| Name | Post | Promotion to present pay. | pay. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Commissioner's Office** | | | |
| Abdul Razaack | Jamedar | 1- 1-30 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatasami | Daffedar | 1-10-31 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Thimma | Peon | 1- 7-22 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Munivenkata | Peon | 1- 2-36 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Kempa | Peon | 1- 2-33 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Gundappa | Peon | 1-12-32 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| H.S. Ramanuja Iyengar | Peon | 1- 4-34 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Muddiah | Peon (Acting) | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cycle allowance | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nanja | -do- | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hanumiah | -do- | 22- 8-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatasami | -do- | 1-7- 34 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cycle allowance | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | **Health Office** | | | |
| Byrappa | Daffedar | 10- 7-23 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Srinivasa Gopala Char | Peon | 15-12-41 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Hutchappa | Peon | 24-4-32 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Putta Muddiah | Peon | - | 12 | 0 | 0* |
| D. Srinivasachar | Peon | 2- 9-41 | | | |

*Acting as disinfection gangman on Rs.13/- from 1-4-41.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Municipal Engineer's Office** | | | |
| Muniappa | Daffedar | 1- 1-33 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Javara | Peon | 10- 1-34 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheluviaya | Peon | 13- 1-34 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Chikkaiya (O.O.D.) | | | | | |
| Anjini (Acting) | Stores Peon | 27- 7-40 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hongappa (O.O.D.) | | | | | |
| Javara (Acting) | -do- | 1- 1-40 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | **Revenue Office** | | | |
| Abdul Gaffoor | Peon | 21-12-38 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Ramiah | Peon | 1- 1-39 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Seetharam Singh | Peon | 13- 1-34 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Mudda | Peon | 18-10-37 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Thimmaiya | Peon | 1- 8-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | **Office of the Octroi Superintendent** | | | |
| Kengeri Muniswamy | Peon | - | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Malliah | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Jainulabudeen | Peon | 1-10-30 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Narasappa | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Muniyappa | Peon | 1- 5-30 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| D. Jayasimha Rao | Peon | 1- 8-31 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Chickmuniswamy | Peon | 1- 4-33 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Syed Madar | Peon | 20-12-33 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Chenna | Peon | 17- 7-30 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| [illegible] Md. Mustafa | Peon | 3- 3-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Subbannachar | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant (Munibyra Acting) | Peon | 24- 8-38 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Madiah | Peon | 1- 8-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Vellur Munisamy | Peon | 25- 1-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hussain Shad Khadri | Peon | 1- 2-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| N.J. Chenniah | Peon | 31- 8-34 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Seetharama Singh | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

| Name | Post | Promotion to present pay. | pay. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | **Commissioner's Office** | | | |
| Abdul Razaack | Jamedar | 1- 1-30 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatasami | Daffedar | 1-10-31 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Thimma | Peon | 1- 7-22 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Munivenkata | Peon | 1- 2-36 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Kempa | Peon | 1- 2-33 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Gundappa | Peon | 1-12-32 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| H.S. Ramanuja Iyengar | Peon | 1- 4-34 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Muddiah | Peon (Acting) | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cycle allowance | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nanja | -do- | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hanumiah | -do- | 22- 8-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatasami | -do- | 1-7- 34 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Cycle allowance | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | **Health Office** | | | |
| Byrappa | Daffedar | 10- 7-23 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Srinivasa Gopala Char | Peon | 15-12-41 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Hutchappa | Peon | 24-4-32 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Putta Muddiah | Peon | - | 12 | 0 | 0* |
| D. Srinivasachar | Peon | 2- 9-41 | | | |
| | | **Municipal Engineer's Office** | | | |
| Muniappa | Daffedar | 1- 1-33 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Javara | Peon | 10- 1-34 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheluviaya | Peon | 13- 1-34 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Chikkaiya (O.O.D.) | | | | | |
| Anjini (Acting) | Stores Peon | 27- 7 40 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hongappa (O.C.D.) | | | | | |
| Javara (Acting) | -do- | 1- 1-40 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | **Revenue Office** | | | |
| Abdul Gaffoor | Peon | 21-12-38 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Ramiah | Peon | 1- 1-39 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Seetharam Singh | Peon | 13- 1-34 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Mudda | Peon | 18-10-37 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Thimmaiya | Peon | 1- 8-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | | **Office of the Octroi Superintendent** | | | |
| Kengeri Muniswamy | Peon | - | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Malliah | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Jainulabudeen | Peon | 1-10-30 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Narasappa | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Muniyappa | Peon | 1- 5-30 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| D. Jayasimha Rao | Peon | 1- 8-31 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Chickmuniswamy | Peon | 1- 4-33 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Syed Nadar | Peon | 20-12-33 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Chenna | Peon | 17- 7-30 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| [illegible] Md. Mustafa | Peon | 3- 3-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Subbannachar | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant (Munibyra Acting) | Peon | 24- 8-38 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Madiah | Peon | 1- 8-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Vellur Munisamy | Peon | 25- 1-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hussain Shad Khadri | Peon | 1- 2-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| N.J. Chenniah | Peon | 31- 8-34 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Seetharama Singh | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

*Acting as disinfection gangman on Rs.13/- from 1-4-41.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Abdul Khuddus | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Dasappa (under suspension) | Peon | 14- 8-31 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| B.R. Basalingappa | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| K. Venkataramiah | Peon | 1- 1-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| A.G. Devarajachar | Peon | 16- 1-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| B.V. Mutha | Peon | 1- 7-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatappa | Peon | 1- 4-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| K, Chenna | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Narasiah | Peon | 1- 3-31 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Chikkathimma | Peon | 9-11-29 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatagiri | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkataramana | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

**Toll Establishment**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kempa | Peon | 1- 1-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Abdul Kareem | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Shiviah | Peon | 16- 1-37 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| A. Thimma | Peon | 9-5- 35 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| H.S. Venkataramiah | Peon | 18- 3-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Thimmiah | Peon | 1- 8-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Abdul Malik | Peon | 20-4-36 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Obalappa | Peon | 10- 5-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| V. Ramiah | Peon | 3- 6-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| G. Ramasingh | Peon | 12- 7-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| H. Srinivasaiengar | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Nanjundiah | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| C. Krishna Murthy | Peon | 1- 7-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| B.M. Muniya | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| A. Bheema Rao | Peon | 1- 2-31 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| D. Druva Rao | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| S. Abdul Khuddus | Peon | 1- 3-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Server Khan | Peon | 1- 5-34 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

Municipal Commissioner.

mlt.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Abdul Khuddus | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Dasappa (under suspension) | Peon | 14- 8-31 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| B.R. Basalingappa | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| K. Venkataramiah | Peon | 1- 1-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| A.G. Devarajachar | Peon | 16- 1-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| B.V. Mutha | Peon | 1- 7-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatappa | Peon | 1- 4-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| K. Channa | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Narasiah | Peon | 1- 3-31 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Chikkathimma | Peon | 9-11-29 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkatagiri | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Venkataramana | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

**Toll Establishment**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kempa | Peon | 1- 1-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Abdul Kareem | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Shiviah | Peon | 16- 1-37 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| A. Thimma | Peon | 9-5- 35 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| H.S. Venkataramiah | Peon | 18- 3-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Thimmiah | Peon | 1- 8-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Abdul Malik | Peon | 20-4-36 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Obalappa | Peon | 10- 5-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| V. Ramiah | Peon | 3- 6-33 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| G. Ramasingh | Peon | 12- 7-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| H. Srinivasaiengar | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Nanjundiah | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| C. Krishna Murthy | Peon | 1- 7-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| B.M. Muniya | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| A. Bheema Rao | Peon | 1- 2-31 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| D. Druva Rao | Peon | 1- 1-30 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| S. Abdul Khuddus | Peon | 1- 3-32 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Server Khan | Peon | 1- 5-34 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

Municipal Commissioner.

mlt.

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

*Diary No of letter replied to*

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *194*

Date of issue 9/12/42

Signed by Jm-G R-

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

Section ml

File No 10-41

Serial No 190

No L 5734

To The ml Comm, Bcy, Thro PBy

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with Your letter No F.C.J 670/41 dt 7th Nov 1942 requesting sanction to the proposal relating to the revision of the pmenal scale of the city mcp, I am directed to request you to be so good as to furnish information on the following points.—

(1) What is the exact amount that is being paid now to the menials per every month excluding the Seamen Allowance?

(2) What would be the above amount after revision?

WO 64161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

(3) what is the total amount paid p.m towards the [illegible] to the members of the Society as Bearers allowance and sanctioned by them?

Thanks etc
Yours sincerely
C Bus Dept
4/12

# BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY.

4469

No. A5-C. 676/4

Encl.

MUNICIPAL OFFICES,

Bangalore 25th January 1943

Mk Wl 10 — 41 — 194

FROM

ABDUL AZIZ KHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
*Bangalore City*

To

Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
LAW DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE.

SIR,

**Revision of the scales of pay of the Menial establishment of the Bangalore City Municipality.**

---

As desired in your letter No L.5734/Ml.10-41-190 dated 9-12-1942, I have the honour to append below the details as to the amount payable monthly as per existing scale and the proposed scale.

1. Exact amount that is being paid now every month to the menials referred to — Rs.1104/-

2. Amount that would be paid every month after revision (Pay & Dearness Allowance)

| 1st year | 2nd year | 3rd year |
|---|---|---|
| 1,104 | 1,162 + 10 | 1,210 |

| 4th year | 5th year | 6th year |
|---|---|---|
| 1,257 | 1,298 | 1,339 |

| 7th year | 8th year | 9th year | 10th year |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1,380 | 1,421 | 1,462 | 1,503. |

3. Total amount paid per mensem in the shape of Dearness Allowance now sanctioned to the menials. — 88 x 5 Rs.440/-

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A.A. Khan

Municipal Commissioner.

2

mlt.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ML
File No 10 41-
Serial No 196

*Diary No of letter replied to*

No L 7958

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *194*

The Mil Commr. Bcy. thro the Dy
Sir,

Date of issue 11/2/43

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No AS-C 676/42-B 25-1-43, in the matter of revising the scales of pay of the Menial establishment of the City mcf, I am directed to ~~state that attention~~ ~~of the fact that the members of the menial estt have been each given dearness allowance~~ ~~of Rs 5 p.m, Govt consider that it is not necessary~~

Signed by Yer G Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

observe that, in its present financial condition, the Mil Cl would be unable to bear the recurring expdre in question and that the Mil employees are also paid dearness allowance at the rate of Rs 5 p.m each according to standards ~~adopted~~ on the same scale as is allowed to the whole time employees of Govt.

P 04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

Having regard to the above facts
to consider the question as a matter of
urgency. Adopting this the
Secretary of the Treasury also is satisfactory
I am directed to state that
the proposal may lie over
until the normal conditions
are restored. ~~or until the financial~~
~~condition of the coal dl becomes~~
~~improved~~

I have etc
for
Secy to Treasury
Law Dept

App. F XI—4]

File No. S 109/6.

Serial No.

Sus. Regr No 30

Shall a reminder issue to Mah. Comm

for a reply to this Office No L.10691 dated 19.2.42

Subject.

Revision of the Scale of the Kerala State Bangalore City Regt

Rs rules

Ltr

Ltr 6/5 Under the office Manual, such Case do not call for in case of reminders As the practice in this Br is various, the Ruling are sought for under what circumstances reminders

Ltr

Section reminders issued

No 23/8

REMINDERS

| No. | Date | |
|---|---|---|
| L.10664 | 7/5/42 | |
| 1st Reminder | 29/8/42 | |

VI G 7]

**GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE**

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

No. 10664-7/57 dated the 7/5 1942

To

The Commissioner,
City Municipality
Bangalore

---

**REPLY**

No. 12355, dated the 16 5 1942

*Commissioner,*
*Bangalore City Municipality*

GOVT LAW DEPT.

*The Secretary to the*
*Government of H H the*
*Maharaja of Mysore,*
*Law Department.*

---

**SUBJECT**

Calling for some information re: the revision of the Scales of pay of the Municipal establishment of Bangalore City Municipality.

SIR,

I am directed to invite attention to the marginally noted communication and to request that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja may be informed, in the column opposite, of the cause of delay in furnishing a reply and of the approximate date on which reply may be expected

I am also to request that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than the early.

*No. 8691 dated 19.3.42

I have, etc.,

*for Secretary to Government,*
*Law Department.*

Sir,

In reference to the marginally noted subject, I have the honour to state that the subject is before the Municipal Commissioner and that their decision will be duly communicated.

I have the honour to be Sir,
Your most obedient servant

*for Commissioner,*
*Bangalore City Municipality*

*Previous reminders.

12355 8 A5

WD 04163—GPB—5,000—10 10-41